

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 42390P12054X 4222 10/086,010 02/27/2002 Thomas E. Willis **EXAMINER** 21906 7590 12/15/2003 ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I TROP PRUNER & HU, PC 8554 KATY FREEWAY PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024 2674 DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s))	
Office Action Summary	10/086,010	WILLIS, THO	WILLIS, THOMAS E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Abbas I Abdulsel	am 2674		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howen tion. In a reply within the statutory min to be period will apply and will expire to statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considere SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n			
	This action is non-fina	1.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.				
1515.51.55 That included in the first sentence of the specification of in all Application Data Globet. 57 Of It 1.70.				
Attachment(s)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 	48) 5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:		

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chiu et al. Regarding claim 1, Chiu teaches a monolithic spatial light modulator having a pixel array, which is programmable and may be implemented into a display system (col. 1, lines 26-31 and col. 3, lines 9-18). Referring to Fig. 1, Chiu teaches a programmable format pixel array device (10) which includes 864x576 micro mirror array (12) where each of these micro mirrors comprise one pixel. Chiu teaches that a peripheral control circuitry and an underlying 864x36 array of memory cell (14) control these mirrors and provide on-chip programmable features including supporting formats of the 864x576 DMD mirror array. Chiu teaches a row address circuitry ess that all m pixels to be implemented in a display device. See col. 3, lines 19es a column data load circuitry further comprising means to selectively format all or a subset of n pixel columns. As a result, Chiu teaches that unused number of pixels are automatically excluded and do not contribute to the display image (Fig. 2).

See col. 3, lines 27-30.

Art Unit: 2674

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 10, 15, 21, 26-27, 28, 31-33 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu et al. (USPN 6107979).

Regarding claims 10, 15, 21, 28 and 36, in addition to what has been discussed above, Chiu discloses a diagram of four pixel formats, which can be realized by the programmable pixel array (Fig. 2), and further indicates that a mirror array (12) is controlled by the memory array (14), whereby memory array is controlled by a programmable row addressing circuit (30) and a programmable column data loading/unloading circuit (32). See col. 7, lines 54-58. Chiu teaches that that the pixel array can be selectively formatted and the array device can be manufactured. See col. 3, lines 2-9. Specifically considering formats C, D of Fig. 2, Chiu teaches memory cells (14) and mirror array (12) of 640x480 pixel array such a logic "0" is written to all the memory cells (16) in rows MR0-MR2 and MR33-MR35 and data is written only to the memory cells of rows MR3-MR32 which is a total of 30 rows. Moreover, Chiu teaches that selections (C, D) of Fig. 2 is illustrated in Fig. 3 where a selection is controlled in hardware by simply establishing a logic "1" on logic line Vsize. See col. 7, lines 62-67 and Fig. 3. However, Chiu does not specifically teach the first and second areas belonging to pixel memory array and pixel display array respectively each coupled to a logic circuit such that the two areas are substantially non-

Art Unit: 2674

overlapping. However as mentioned above, Chiu does teach a mirror array (12) which is controlled by the memory array (14), whereby memory array is controlled by a programmable row addressing circuit (30) and a programmable column data loading/unloading circuit (32).

It would have been obvious to utilize Chiu's the addressing circuit (30) and a programmable column data loading/unloading circuit (32) for the purpose of manipulating the size of a mirror array (12). One would have been motivated that in view of the suggestion that the programmable row addressing circuit (30) and the programmable column data loading/unloading circuit (32) can be used modify Fig. 1 in order that memory array (14) does not substantially overlap with mirror array (12).

Regarding claim 21 and 28, Chiu teaches monolithic spatial light modulators having pixel arrays, which may be implemented in a display and particularly, teaches a universal pixel array with programmable active size. See col. 1, lines 26-31. Also see Fig. 3 where cells (20, 22) of memory array (14) are utilized. Chiu states that a single hardware programmable format pixel array is utilized to realize a functional pixel array with different dimensions of active pixels as a function of a single data entry. See col. 3, lines 38-42. Chiu does not specifically teach counter value generating results, and in response either activating or deactivating a pixel cell. Chiu on the other hand teaches a data complementer circuit (56), which controls the pixel data transfer as true/false data as shown in Fig. 12 and Table 5. Chiu illustrates in Table 5 where the rows of memory array (14) can be alternately loaded with a logic "0" or a logic "1" by enabling or disabling the complement input (col. 14, lines 13-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a data complementer circuit (56) for the purpose of controlling the status of

Art Unit: 2674

the pixel data. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion that the complementer circuit (56) equivalently provides the desired activating and deactivating of a pixel cell.

Regarding claim 28, in addition to what has been discussed above Chiu teaches a data of secondary memory cell (22) configured with address electrodes (28, 29) as shown on Fig. 3. Furthermore, Chiu teaches a programmable column data loading and unloading circuit (32) and row addressing circuit (30) controlling memory array. It would have been obvious to utilize the circuit (30, 32) for the purpose of the desired comparison.

Regarding claims 31-33, Chiu discloses spatial light modulators having pixel arrays, which may be implemented in video/graphic displays, which include displays for computer systems, terminals and televisions. See col. 1,lines 25050.

Regarding claims 2, 26-27 and 37, Chiu teaches a programmable column data loading and unloading circuit (32) and row addressing circuit (30) controlling memory array. It would have been obvious to utilize the circuit (30, 32) for the purpose disposing all of the pixels of the memory array outside the display area.

3. Claims 3-9, 11-14, 16-20, 22-24, 29-30 and 37-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu et al. (USPN 6107979) in view of Miles (USPN 5986796).

Regarding claims 3, 11, 16 and 29-30, Chiu does not teach "at least one local width modulation drive circuit coupled to at least one of the pixel storage cells." Miles on the other hand teaches pulse width modulation signals being delivered from circuitry (26) to the pixel

Art Unit: 2674

circuit modules (46) each circuit module (46) in the row including storages (180, 182). See Fig. 2 and Fig. 11.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chiu's spatial light modulating system to adapt Miles' control circuitry (26) along with circuit modules (46). One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Miles that a control circuitry (26) and circuit modules (46) as configured in Fig. 2 and Fig. 11 are functionally equivalent to the desired width modulation driving circuit coupled to storage cells. The use of control circuitry (26) and circuit models (46) helps function modulator arrays as taught by Miles.

Moreover, Miles teaches a circuit model of Fig. 2 including a binary counter (320). See Fig. 11.

Regarding claim 4, Miles teaches a device (20) including screen (22) for displaying or sensing a high-resolution color image or a succession of color images under a control of power and control circuitry (26). See Fig. 4, lines 38-46

Regarding claims 5 and 7, Miles discloses using binary array to perform brightness control and the analog array to perform color selection allowing the generation of images with variable colors. See Fig. 23E and col. 18, lines 13-18.

Regarding claims 6, 12, 14, 17-18, 22, 23-24 and 37-47, Chiu teachers a micro mirror device (10) with more than one display format (col. 22, lines 64-67) and illustrates that the device (10) includes a counter (42). See Fig. 1. Chiu teaches a data of secondary memory cell (22) configured with address electrodes (28, 29) as shown on Fig. 3. In addition, Chiu teaches a programmable column data loading and unloading circuit (32) and row addressing circuit (30)

Art Unit: 2674

controlling memory array. It would have been obvious to utilize the circuit (30, 32) for the purpose of the desired comparison.

Regarding claims 8-9, Miles teaches a full nine-pixel display including replications of the array of Fig. 23A illustrated in terms of separate color planes (610, 612, 614) each of which interacts only with and reflects one color from red green or blue. See col. 17, lines 55-65 and Fig. 23(A-B).

Regarding claims 13, 19-20 and 25, Chiu mentions gray scale of pixels forming an image is achieved by pulse width modulation techniques. See col. 2, lines 21-27.

Regarding claims 44-47, Chiu teaches a digital micro-mirror device (DMD) suitable for use in displays and projectors. Chiu teaches that the DMD is a monolithic single-chip integrated circuit spatial modulator (SLM) comprising micro mirrors fabricated over SRM cells and address electrodes. See col. 1, lines 58-67.

4. Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) being unpatentable over chiu et al. (USPN 6107979) in view of Takanashi et al. (USPN 5565882).

Regarding claim 34, Chiu has been discusses above. However, Chiu does not teach the use of a polarization beam splitter included in the projection device. Takanashi on the other teaches a polarization beam splitter (924) in the image processing systems as shown in Fig. 11. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify Chiu's spatial light modulating system to adapt Takanashi's polarization beam splitter. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Takanashi that the

Art Unit: 2674

polarization beam splitter (924) as configured in Fig. 11 is equivalent to the desired polarization beam splitter. The use of a polarization beam splitter (924) helps function a spatial light modulator (922) as taught by Takanashi. Et al.

Regarding claim 35, Chiu teaches a display system in which a spatial light modulator is provided with respect to three areas of the picture (130). See Fig. 4.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.

The following arts are cited fro further reference.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,072,454 to Nakai et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,573,928 to Jones et al.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Abbas Abdulselam** whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2674

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Page 9

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

December 5, 2003

rionand agare Tellicore folktor prognangua

TECHNELICEY CONTRE 2000